

SYMMETRIES OF THE FINITE HEISENBERG GROUP FOR COMPOSITE SYSTEMS

M KORBELÁŘ AND J TOLAR

ABSTRACT. Symmetries of the finite Heisenberg group represent an important tool for the study of deeper structure of finite-dimensional quantum mechanics. As is well known, these symmetries are properly expressed in terms of certain normalizer. This paper extends previous investigations to composite quantum systems consisting of two subsystems — qudits — with arbitrary dimensions n and m . In this paper we present detailed descriptions — in the group of inner automorphisms of $\mathrm{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C})$ — of the normalizer of the Abelian subgroup generated by tensor products of generalized Pauli matrices of orders n and m . The symmetry group is then given by the quotient group of the normalizer.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Finite-dimensional quantum mechanics	2
3. Inner automorphisms of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$	4
4. Tensor products	4
5. The symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$	5
6. The normalizer of $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$	7
7. $\mathcal{G}$ as a group extension	9
8. Conclusions	10
Acknowledgements	10
9. Appendix: Characterization of $\mathcal{G}$	11
References	15

Keywords: finite Heisenberg group, generalized Pauli matrices, $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, inner automorphisms, normalizer, composite quantum system

1. INTRODUCTION

The special role of the finite Heisenberg group (also known as the Heisenberg-Pauli group) has been recognized in mathematics as well as in physics. In the former case, it is closely connected with the Pauli grading of the classical Lie algebras of the type $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ [1, 2]. In the latter case, its elements provide the basic quantum observables in the finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces [4] of quantum mechanics.

It is then clear that automorphisms or symmetries of the finite Heisenberg group play very important role in the investigation of Lie algebras on the one hand [2, 5, 6] and of quantum mechanics in finite dimensions on the other [7, 8]. These symmetries find proper expression in the notion of the

quotient group of certain normalizer [9]. The groups of symmetries given by inner automorphisms were described in [2] as isomorphic to $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and as $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $n = p^2$, p prime in [6].

Note that such symmetry groups are finite-dimensional analogues of the group $\text{Sp}(2N, \mathbb{R})$ of linear canonical transformations of the continuous phase space $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$. Their matrix forms then correspond to the metaplectic representation of $\text{Sp}(2N, \mathbb{R})$ in the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in which the Heisenberg Lie algebra is represented [10].

The present investigation is devoted to symmetries of the finite Heisenberg group for systems composed of two subsystems (qudits) of arbitrary dimensions n, m . Such composite systems have been studied over finite fields, e.g. for both $n = m$ prime [6]. There exist numerous studies of various aspects of the finite Heisenberg group over finite fields in connection with finite-dimensional quantum mechanics (FDQM) in Hilbert spaces of prime or prime power dimensions (see e.g. [8, 11, 12, 13]).

Our main motivation to study symmetries of the finite Heisenberg group not in prime or prime power dimensions but for arbitrary dimensions stems from our previous research where we obtained results valid for arbitrary dimensions [2, 14, 15]. Recent paper [16] belongs to this direction, too, by dealing with quantum tomography over modular rings. Also papers [17, 18] support our motivation, since they show that FDQM over growing arbitrary dimensions yields surprisingly good approximations of ordinary quantum mechanics on the real line.

This paper can be viewed also as a contribution to the study of the up to now unsolved problem — the existence or non-existence of the maximal set of $N + 1$ mutually unbiased bases in Hilbert spaces of arbitrary dimensions N . Let us point out that a constructive existence proof for N prime presented in [8] was based on consistent use of the symmetry group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_N)$. It is well known that such maximal sets exist in all prime and prime power dimensions [19] but the maximal number of mutually unbiased bases for composite dimensions is unknown as yet. If known, it would provide a very important contribution to quantum communication science, where mutually unbiased bases serve as basic ingredient of secure protocols in quantum cryptography [20, 21]. Perhaps this paper may help to unveil the structure of the maximal set of mutually unbiased bases.

After introductory sections 2, 3 and 4 fixing notation of FDQM, inner automorphisms and tensor products, in section 5 the group $\mathcal{G}$ is defined. The central part of the paper is section 6 where the normalizer is completely described and the main Theorem 6.9 proved. It contains our principal result that the symmetry group, being the quotient group of the normalizer, is indeed isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$. Moreover, in section 7 the group $\mathcal{G}$ is described as a suitable extension of groups. More detailed characterizations of $\mathcal{G}$ are postponed to the Appendix.

2. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL QUANTUM MECHANICS

Finite-dimensional quantum mechanics (FDQM) [7, 22] has been developed as quantum mechanics on configuration spaces given by finite sets equipped with the structure of a finite Abelian group [14]. In the first step one may consider a single cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as the underlying configuration space.

Definition 2.1. For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$\omega_n := e^{2\pi i/n} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Denote Q_n and P_n the *generalized Pauli matrices* of order n ,

$$Q_n := \text{diag}(1, \omega_n, \omega_n^2, \dots, \omega_n^{n-1}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

and

$$P_n \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \text{ where } (P_n)_{i,j} := \delta_{i,j-1}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

The $n \times n$ unit matrix will be denoted as I_n . The subgroup of unitary matrices in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ generated by Q_n and P_n ,

$$\Pi_n := \{\omega_n^j Q_n^k P_n^l | j, k, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\}$$

is called the *finite Heisenberg group*.

The special role of the generalized Pauli matrices has been confirmed in physical literature as the cornerstone of FDQM, since their integral powers have physical interpretation of exponentiated position and momentum operators in position representation [4]. As quantum mechanical operators, Q_n and P_n act in the n -dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_n = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. Further properties of Π_n are contained in the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 2.2. (1) *The order of Π_n is n^3 .*

(2) *The center of Π_n is $\{\omega_n^j I_n | j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\}$.*

(3) $P_n Q_n = \omega_n Q_n P_n$.

We shall also need the following general property of Π_n .

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with unit 1, $M_n(R)$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from R and let Π_n be naturally embedded in $M_n(R)$. Let $C \in M_n(R)$ be such that $P_n C = \lambda C P_n$ and $Q_n C = \mu C Q_n$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then there are $H \in \Pi_n$ and $a \in R$ such that $C = aH$.*

Proof. We can suppose that $C \neq 0$. Let $(Q_n)_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j} \omega_n^{i-1}$ and $(P_n)_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j-1}$. Then

$$(Q_n C)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{i,k} \omega_n^{i-1} C_{k,j} = \omega_n^{i-1} C_{i,j}$$

and

$$\mu(C Q_n)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu C_{i,k} \delta_{k,j} \omega_n^{j-1} = \mu \omega_n^{j-1} C_{i,j}.$$

Hence $(\omega_n^{i-j} - \mu)C_{i,j} = 0$ for every i, j . Since $C \neq 0$, there are i_0 and j_0 such that $C_{i_0, j_0} \neq 0$. Hence $\mu = \omega_n^{i_0 - j_0}$ and $C_{i,j} = 0$ if $i - j \neq i_0 - j_0$ modulo n . Thus $C_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j-j_0+i_0} c_i$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_n \in R$. Put $D = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in M_n(R)$. Then, clearly, $C = P_n^{j_0-i_0} D$ and $D \neq 0$. By assumption, $P_n D = \lambda D P_n$. We have

$$(P_n D)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{i,k-1} \delta_{k,j} c_j = \delta_{i,j-1} c_j$$

and

$$\lambda(D P_n)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda \delta_{i,k} c_i \delta_{k,j-1} = \lambda \delta_{i,j-1} c_i.$$

Hence $c_j - \lambda c_{j-1} = 0$ for every j . It follows that, $c_j = \lambda^{j-1} c_1$ and $c_1 = \lambda^n c_1$. Since $D \neq 0$, we have $c_1 \neq 0$ and $\lambda^n = 1$. Hence $\lambda = \omega_n^k$ and $D = Q_n^k(c_1 I_n)$. Now put $H = P_n^{j_0 - i_0} Q_n^k \in \Pi_n$ and $a = c_1 \in R$. Then $C = aH$. $\square$

3. INNER AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$

For the notion of inner automorphisms, we shall consider (instead of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$) the matrix group $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of all invertible matrices $n \times n$ over $\mathbb{C}$, since the finite Heisenberg group was introduced as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 3.1. For $M \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ let $\text{Ad}_M \in \text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ be the *inner automorphism* of the group $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ induced by operator $M \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, i.e.

$$\text{Ad}_M(X) = MXM^{-1} \text{ for } X \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

The following lemma summarizes relevant properties of Ad_M .

Lemma 3.2. Let $M, N \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then:

- (i) $\text{Ad}_M \text{Ad}_N = \text{Ad}_{MN}$.
- (ii) $(\text{Ad}_M)^{-1} = \text{Ad}_{M^{-1}}$.
- (iii) $\text{Ad}_M = \text{Ad}_N$ if and only if there is a constant $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M = \alpha N$.

Definition 3.3. We define $\mathcal{P}_n$ as the group

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{\text{Ad}_{Q_n^i P_n^j} | (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n\}.$$

It is an Abelian subgroup of $\text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ and is generated by two commuting automorphisms $\text{Ad}_{Q_n}, \text{Ad}_{P_n}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \langle \text{Ad}_{Q_n}, \text{Ad}_{P_n} \rangle.$$

A geometric view is sometimes useful that $\mathcal{P}_n$ is isomorphic to the *quantum phase space* identified with the Abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ [8].

4. TENSOR PRODUCTS

According to the well-known rules of quantum mechanics, FDQM on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ can be extended in a straightforward way to finite direct products $\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_f}$. Here the cyclic groups involved can be viewed as describing independent quantum degrees of freedom [4]. The Hilbert space for FDQM of such a composite system is constructed as the tensor product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m_f}$.

In this paper, in order to obtain concrete results, we restrict our study to special configuration spaces involving just two factors $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ with arbitrary $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the corresponding Hilbert space of FDQM will be $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_m$ of dimension $N = nm$.

We recall the usual properties of the matrix tensor product $\otimes$. Let $A, A' \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $B, B' \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

- (i) $(A \otimes B)(A' \otimes B') = AA' \otimes BB'$.
- (ii) $\alpha(A \otimes B) = (\alpha A) \otimes B = A \otimes (\alpha B)$.
- (iii) $A \otimes B = I_{nm}$ if and only if there is $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $A = \alpha I_n$ and $B = \alpha^{-1} I_m$.

Definition 4.1. Define

$$\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m := \{\text{Ad}_{A \otimes B} \mid A \in \Pi_n, B \in \Pi_m\} \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C})).$$

Further we shall work with generating elements of $\Pi_n \times \Pi_m$,

$$A_1 := P_n \otimes I_m, \quad A_2 := Q_n \otimes I_m, \quad A_3 := I_n \otimes P_m, \quad A_4 := I_n \otimes Q_m,$$

and the corresponding inner automorphisms

$$e_i := \text{Ad}_{A_i}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

As an easy observation we have the following:

Lemma 4.2. $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m = \prod_{i=1}^4 \langle e_i \rangle$, the direct product of groups $\langle e_i \rangle$ generated by e_i .

Lemma 4.3. The centralizer of $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$ in $\text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}))$ is equal to $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$ (i.e., $C_{\text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}))}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) = \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$).

Proof. Let $C \in \text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C})$ be such that $\text{Ad}_C \in \text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}))$ commutes with every element of $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$. By 3.2(iii), $A_1 C = \lambda C A_1$ and $A_2 C = \mu C A_2$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We can view C , A_1 and A_2 as elements of $M_n(M_m(\mathbb{C}))$. By 2.3, we get $C = (H \otimes I_m)(I_n \otimes A) = (H \otimes A)$ for some $H \in \Pi_n$ and $A \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$. Since C is invertible, we have $A \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$.

Further, there is $0 \neq \lambda' \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$H \otimes P_n A = (I_n \otimes P_m)(H \otimes A) = \lambda'(H \otimes A)(I_n \otimes P_m) = H \otimes \lambda' A P_m.$$

Hence $I_n \otimes P_n A = I_n \otimes \lambda' A P_m$ and thus $P_n A = \lambda' A P_m$. Similarly, $Q_n A = \mu' A Q_m$ for some $0 \neq \mu' \in \mathbb{C}$. By 2.3, we get that $A = \alpha H'$ for some $H' \in \mathcal{P}_m$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, $C = \alpha(H \otimes H')$ and $\text{Ad}_C \in \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$. $\square$

5. THE SYMMETRY GROUP $\mathcal{G}$

This section is devoted to the definition of the group $\mathcal{G}$ and its principal properties. The proof that $\mathcal{G}$ is indeed the symmetry group is contained in the next section 6.

We start with the definition of a monoid $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. a set with a binary associative operation and a neutral element. Through this section let $n, m, a, b, d \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed numbers such that

$$d \mid n, \quad d \mid m, \quad n \mid abd \quad \text{and} \quad m \mid abd.$$

In order to avoid too complicated notation we will not write out the dependence of all defined structures on the choice of the numbers above.

Definition 5.1. Put

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mid A_{ij} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\} \subseteq M_4(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Further, for $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & aB_{12} \\ bB_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}$ put

$$A \equiv B \iff A_{11} \equiv_n B_{11} \text{ \& } A_{12} \equiv_d B_{12} \text{ \& } A_{21} \equiv_d B_{21} \text{ \& } A_{22} \equiv_m B_{22},$$

where $\equiv_k$ is an abbreviation for element-wise ‘congruent modulo k ’.

Lemma 5.2. $\mathcal{S}$ is a submonoid of $(M_4(\mathbb{Z}), \cdot)$ with unit I_4 and $\equiv$ is a congruence of $(\mathcal{S}, \cdot)$.

Proof. The assertion follows easily from the following equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & aB_{12} \\ bB_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B_{11}+abA_{12}B_{21} & a(A_{11}B_{12}+A_{12}B_{22}) \\ b(A_{21}B_{11}+A_{22}B_{21}) & abA_{21}B_{12}+A_{22}B_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Definition 5.3. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}$ put $A^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^T & aA_{21}^T \\ bA_{12}^T & A_{22}^T \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}$ and denote $[A]$ the class of congruence $\equiv$ containing A . Further denote

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{with} \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad j = [J] \in \mathcal{S}/\equiv$$

.

The following lemma is easy to verify.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$. Then:*

- (i) $(A^*)^* = A$.
- (ii) $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$.
- (iii) *The map $[A] \mapsto [A^*]$ is a well defined operation on $\mathcal{S}/\equiv$.*

Now we are prepared to define group $\mathcal{G}$ and state its simple properties.

Definition 5.5. Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,m,d,a,b} := \{x \in \mathcal{S}/\equiv \mid x^*jx = j\}.$$

Since the entries n, m, d, a, b are fixed we will use a brief notation and write $\mathcal{G}$ instead of $\mathcal{G}_{n,m,d,a,b}$.

The following properties are easy to verify.

- Lemma 5.6.** (i) $j^*j = jj^* = 1$ and $j \in \mathcal{G}$.
(ii) $-1 \in \mathcal{G}$, $(-1)j = j^*$ and $(-1)g = g(-1)$ for every $g \in \mathcal{S}/\equiv$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let M be a finite monoid. Let $a \in M$ have a one-sided inverse (left or right). Then a is an invertible element.*

Proof. Let $a \in M$. Let $b \in M$ be such that $ab = 1$. Since M is finite, there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$ such that $a^m = a^n$. Hence $a^{m-n} = a^m b^n = a^n b^n = 1$. Hence $a(a^{m-n-1}) = (a^{m-n-1})a = 1$ and a is an invertible element. The other case being symmetrical. □

Proposition 5.8. $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite subgroup of the monoid $\mathcal{S}/\equiv$.

Proof. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}/\equiv$ is finite. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. Then by 5.4(ii) $(xy)^*j(xy) = y^*(x^*jx)y = y^*jy = j$. Hence $xy \in \mathcal{G}$.

For $x \in \mathcal{G}$ we have $x^*jx = j$, hence $(j^*x^*j)x = 1$. By 5.7, $x^{-1} = j^*x^*j$. Thus $1 = xx^{-1} = xj^*x^*j$ and $j^* = xj^*x^*$. By 5.6(ii), $-1 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $(-1)j^* = j$. Hence $j = xjx^* = (x^*)^*jx^*$, since $(x^*)^* = x$. It follows that $x^* \in \mathcal{G}$ and thus $x^{-1} = j^*x^*j \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence $\mathcal{G}$ is a group. □

As an immediate consequence of the proof of 5.8 we get the following

Corollary 5.9. *Let $x \in \mathcal{S}/\equiv$.*

- (i) *If $x \in \mathcal{G}$ then $x^{-1} = j^*x^*j$.*
- (ii) *$x \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $x^* \in \mathcal{G}$.*
- (iii) *$x \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $xjx^* = j$.*

Lengthy derivations of more detailed properties of $\mathcal{G}$ are postponed to the Appendix. First there is Lemma 9.2 giving criterion for a class $[A]$ to belong to $\mathcal{G}$ in terms of properties of the elements of $A \in M_4(\mathbb{Z})$. Second, in Theorem 9.8 is proved that $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite group generated by classes of special elements of $M_4(\mathbb{Z})$, see

$\mathcal{G}$ is a group generated by $\{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R}$, where $r(k)$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are defined in 9.3.

6. THE NORMALIZER OF $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$

In this central part of the paper the normalizer is completely described and the main Theorem 6.9 is proved. It contains our principal result that the symmetry group, being the quotient group of the normalizer, is indeed isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$.

Through this section $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are again fixed and we set $d = \gcd(n, m)$, $a = n/d$ and $b = m/d$. With this choice of d , a and b the conditions of the previous section are clearly fulfilled,

$$\text{lcm}(n, m) = mn / \gcd(n, m) = ma = nb = abd.$$

Definition 6.1. Define

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) := N_{\text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}))}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m),$$

the normalizer of $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$ in $\text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}))$. Further define

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) := N_{\text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))}(\mathcal{P}_n),$$

the normalizer of $\mathcal{P}_n$ in $\text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$, and

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m) := \{\text{Ad}_{A \otimes B} \mid A \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n), B \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m)\} \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C})).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$.

Lemma 6.2. *For every $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$ there is a unique $[A] \in \mathcal{S}/\equiv$ such that*

$$\alpha(e_j) = \prod_{i=1}^4 e_i^{A_{ij}} \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, 4.$$

The map

$$\Phi : \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}/\equiv, \quad \Phi(\alpha) := [A]$$

is a monoid monomorphism.

Proof. For every $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$ there are $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha(e_j) = \prod_{i=1}^4 e_i^{a_{ij}}$. Put $A = (a_{ij})$. The order of both e_1 and e_2 is equal to n and the order of both e_3 and e_4 is equal to m . Hence we have for $j = 1, 2$ that $1 = \alpha(e_j^n) = e_1^{na_{1j}} e_2^{na_{2j}} e_3^{na_{3j}} e_4^{na_{4j}}$. Thus $na_{3j} \equiv_m 0 \equiv_m na_{4j}$ and $a_{3j} \equiv_b 0 \equiv_b a_{4j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Similarly, $1 = \alpha(e_j^m) = e_1^{ma_{1j}} e_2^{ma_{2j}} e_3^{ma_{3j}} e_4^{ma_{4j}}$ and $a_{1j} \equiv_a 0 \equiv_a a_{2j}$ for $j = 3, 4$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and using 4.2 we see that A is unique up to $\equiv$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$. Clearly, $\Phi(\alpha) = \Phi(\beta)$ implies $\alpha(e_i) = \beta(e_i)$ for every i . Hence $\alpha = \beta$. Let $\Phi(\alpha) = [(a_{ij})]$ and $\Phi(\beta) = [(b_{ij})]$. Then $\alpha(\beta(e_j)) = \alpha(\prod_{k=1}^4 e_k^{b_{kj}}) = \prod_{k=1}^4 \alpha(e_k)^{b_{kj}} = \prod_{k=1}^4 (\prod_{i=1}^4 e_i^{a_{ik}})^{b_{kj}} = \prod_{i=1}^4 e_i^{c_{ij}}$, where $c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^4 a_{ik} b_{kj}$. Hence $\Phi(\alpha\beta) = \Phi(\alpha)\Phi(\beta)$. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Let*

$$\Psi : \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$$

$$\Psi(\text{Ad}_M)(\text{Ad}_X) := \text{Ad}_M \text{Ad}_X \text{Ad}_M^{-1}$$

for every $\text{Ad}_M \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$ and $\text{Ad}_X \in \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$. Then Ψ is a group homomorphism and $\ker(\Psi) = \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$.

Proof. By 4.3, $\ker(\Psi) = C_{\text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}))}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) = \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$. $\square$

Definition 6.4. Put

$$\lambda_{ij} = e^{w_{ij}2\pi i / \text{lcm}(n, m)}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$, where w_{ij} are the entries of the matrix

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ -b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 6.5. $A_i^k A_j^l = \lambda_{ij}^{kl} A_j^l A_i^k$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Follows from 2.2 (and 4.1). $\square$

Lemma 6.6. $\Phi\Psi(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Let $\text{Ad}_G \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$, where $G \in \text{Int}(\text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}))$. By 6.2, there is $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi\Psi(\text{Ad}_G) = [A] \in \mathcal{S}/\equiv$. Then

$$\Psi(\text{Ad}_G)(e_i) = e_1^{a_{1i}} e_2^{a_{2i}} e_3^{a_{3i}} e_4^{a_{4i}}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. By 3.2(iii), there are $0 \neq \nu_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$GA_i G^{-1} = \nu_i A_1^{a_{1i}} A_2^{a_{2i}} A_3^{a_{3i}} A_4^{a_{4i}}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} GA_i A_j G^{-1} &= GA_i G^{-1} GA_j G^{-1} = \nu_i \nu_j A_1^{a_{1i}} A_2^{a_{2i}} A_3^{a_{3i}} A_4^{a_{4i}} A_1^{a_{1j}} A_2^{a_{2j}} A_3^{a_{3j}} A_4^{a_{4j}} = \\ &= \nu_i \nu_j \lambda_{21}^{a_{1j} a_{2i}} \lambda_{43}^{a_{3j} a_{4i}} A_1^{a_{1i} + a_{1j}} A_2^{a_{2i} + a_{2j}} A_3^{a_{3i} + a_{3j}} A_4^{a_{4i} + a_{4j}} \end{aligned}$$

by 6.5. On the other hand,

$$GA_i A_j G^{-1} = \lambda_{ij} GA_j A_i G^{-1} = \nu_i \nu_j \lambda_{ij} \lambda_{21}^{a_{1i} a_{2j}} \lambda_{43}^{a_{3i} a_{4j}} A_1^{a_{1i} + a_{1j}} A_2^{a_{2i} + a_{2j}} A_3^{a_{3i} + a_{3j}} A_4^{a_{4i} + a_{4j}}.$$

Thus $\lambda_{21}^{a_{1j} a_{2i}} \lambda_{43}^{a_{3j} a_{4i}} = \lambda_{ij} \lambda_{21}^{a_{1i} a_{2j}} \lambda_{43}^{a_{3i} a_{4j}}$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$. By 6.4, this is equivalent to

$$\exp\left(2\pi i \frac{b(a_{1i} a_{2j} - a_{1j} a_{2i}) + a(a_{3i} a_{4j} - a_{3j} a_{4i}) - w_{ij}}{\text{lcm}(n, m)}\right) = 1.$$

This means that

$$b \det A_{(1,2)}^{(i,j)} + a \det A_{(3,4)}^{(i,j)} \equiv_{\text{lcm}(n, m)} w_{ij}$$

for every $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$. Hence, by 9.2, $[A] \in \mathcal{G}$. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. *Put*

$$R := \text{diag}(I_m, Q_m^b, Q_m^{2b}, \dots, Q_m^{(n-1)b}) \in \text{GL}(nm, \mathbb{C}).$$

Then $\text{Ad}_R \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$ and $\Phi\Psi(\text{Ad}_R) = r(-1)$.

Proof. R is a regular diagonal matrix. Hence $R(Q_n \otimes I_m) = (Q_n \otimes I_m)R$ and $R(I_n \otimes Q_m) = (I_n \otimes Q_m)R$. Further,

$$(P_n \otimes I_m)^{-1} R(P_n \otimes I_m) = \text{diag}(Q_m^{-b}, I_m, Q_m^b, \dots, Q_m^{(n-2)b}) = (I_n \otimes Q_m)^{-b} R$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R(I_n \otimes P_m) &= \text{diag}(P_m, Q_m^b P_m, Q_m^{2b} P_m, \dots, Q_m^{(n-1)b} P_m) = \\ &= \text{diag}(P_m, \omega_m^{-b} P_m Q_m^b, \omega_m^{-2b} P_m Q_m^{2b}, \dots, \omega_m^{-(n-1)b} P_m Q_m^{(n-1)b}) = \\ &= \text{diag}(P_m, (\omega_n)^{-a} P_m Q_m^b, (\omega_n^2)^{-a} P_m Q_m^{2b}, \dots, (\omega_n^{n-1})^{-a} P_m Q_m^{(n-1)b}) = \\ &= (Q_n \otimes I_m)^{-a} (I_n \otimes P_m) R \end{aligned}$$

since $\omega_m^b = e^{2\pi i/d} = \omega_n^a$. Hence

$$\Psi(\text{Ad}_R)(e_2) = e_2, \Psi(\text{Ad}_R)(e_4) = e_4, \Psi(\text{Ad}_R)(e_1) = e_1 e_4^{-a} \text{ and } \Psi(\text{Ad}_R)(e_3) = e_2^{-b} e_3.$$

It follows that $\Phi\Psi(\text{Ad}_R) = r(-1)$ where $r(k)$ is defined in 9.3. $\square$

For the proof of the following proposition and details see [2].

Proposition 6.8. $\Phi\Psi(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m)) = \mathcal{R}$ where $\mathcal{R}$ is defined in 9.3.

Theorem 6.9. (i)

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) / \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m \cong \mathcal{G}.$$

(ii) The group $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$ is generated by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m)$ and Ad_R .

Proof. (i) By 9.8, $\mathcal{G}$ is generated by $r(1)$ and $\mathcal{R}$. Hence, by 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8, $\Phi\Psi(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)) = \mathcal{G}$. Finally, by 6.2 and 6.3, $\ker(\Phi\Psi) = \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$ generated by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m)$ and Ad_R . Then $\ker(\Phi\Psi) = \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m = \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and, by 6.7, 6.8 and 9.8, $\Phi\Psi(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{G}$. Hence $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m)$. $\square$

Corollary 6.10. $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m)$ if and only if $\gcd(n, m) = 1$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m)$. Then, by 6.8 and 6.7, $r(1) \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence $a \equiv_n 0$ and $b \equiv_m 0$. Thus $n = a$, $m = b$ and $\gcd(n, m) = \gcd(a, b) = 1$.

($\Leftarrow$) If $d = \gcd(n, m) = 1$, then $b = m/d = m$ and $R = I_{nm}$, by 6.7. Hence, by 6.9(ii), $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_n) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_m)$. $\square$

7. $\mathcal{G}$ AS A GROUP EXTENSION

In order to get better insight in the structure of the symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ we present it as a suitable extension of groups. In this section we shall denote it $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}$ equipped with subscripts $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(\mathbb{Z})$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(\mathbb{Z})$ put

$$A *_k B = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B_{11} + kA_{12}B_{21} & A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} \\ A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} & A_{22}B_{12} + kA_{21}B_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $(M_4(\mathbb{Z}), *_k)$ is a monoid. Indeed, consider the map $\nu : (M_4(\mathbb{Z}), *_k) \rightarrow (M_4(\mathbb{Z}), \cdot) : \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & kA_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly, ν is injective and $\nu(A *_k B) = \nu(A) \cdot \nu(B)$ for every $A, B \in M_4(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus ν is a monomorphism and $*_k$ is associative.

(ii) Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. By (i), $*_k$ is a well defined operation also on $M_4(\mathbb{Z}_d)$. We denote as in section 5 the class $j = \begin{bmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{bmatrix} \in M_4(\mathbb{Z}_d)$ and set

$$\mathrm{Sp}_k(4, \mathbb{Z}_d) = \{x \in M_4(\mathbb{Z}_d) \mid x^T *_k j *_k x = j\}.$$

Using the map ν we easily get that the monoid $\mathrm{Sp}_k(4, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{d,d,k,1}$ (see 5.5) and thus it is a group. Note that if $k \equiv_d 1$ then $\mathrm{Sp}_k(4, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ is just the usual symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_d)$.

(iii) Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d = \gcd(n, m)$. Consider now the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{G}_{n,m} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\frac{nm}{d^2}}(4, \mathbb{Z}_d) : \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $a = n/d$ and $b = m/d$. By (ii) and 9.8, π is an epimorphism. Clearly, the kernel of π is

$$\ker(\pi) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} I + dA & 0 \\ 0 & I + dB \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S} / \equiv \mid \det(I + dA) \equiv_n 1 \text{ \& } \det(I + dB) \equiv_m 1 \right\}.$$

Note that $\det(I + dA) \equiv_n 1$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Tr}(A) + d \det(A) \equiv_{n/d} 0$, for a matrix $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Denote now

$$S_{k,l} = \{[I + kA] \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_l) \mid A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ \& } \mathrm{Tr}(A) + k \det(A) \equiv_{l/k} 0\}$$

for integers k, l such that $k \mid l$. Clearly, $S_{k,l}$ is a group and we get that $\ker(\pi) = S_{d,n} \times S_{d,m}$. We have thus obtained a short exact sequence describing an extension of groups:

$$1 \rightarrow (S_{d,n} \times S_{d,m}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n,m} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\frac{nm}{d^2}}(4, \mathbb{Z}_d) \rightarrow 1.$$

8. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper complete results are presented concerning the symmetry group of the finite Heisenberg group of a composite quantum system consisting of two subsystems with arbitrary dimensions n, m . The corresponding finite Heisenberg group is embedded in $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$, $N = nm$. Via inner automorphisms it induces an Abelian subgroup $\mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_m$ in $\mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C}))$. We have studied the normalizer of this Abelian subgroup in the group of inner automorphisms of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and have thoroughly described it. The sought symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ is the quotient group of the normalizer (Theorem 6.9) and its further characterizations are given in sections 5, 7 and the Appendix. In section 7 an alternative description of $\mathcal{G}$ is presented in terms of a group extension.

The special case of $n = m = p$, p prime, $N = p^2$, is simply observed to correspond to the group $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, fully described in [6]. Note that their result is here generalized to $n = m$ arbitrary (non-prime), leading to $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_n)$. If $N = nm$, n, m coprime, the symmetry group is, according to [2] and Corollary 6.10, $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_m) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_{nm})$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author (M.K.) was supported by the Grant Agency of Charles University, project #4183/2009. The second author (J.T.) acknowledges partial support by the Ministry of Education of Czech Republic, projects MSM6840770039 and LC06002.

9. APPENDIX: CHARACTERIZATION OF $\mathcal{G}$

In the Appendix, the classes $[A] \in \mathcal{G}$ are first characterized by properties satisfied by elements of matrices $A \in \mathcal{S}$ (Lemma 9.2). Further in Definition 9.3 special classes $r(k)$ and set of classes $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{S}/\equiv$ are introduced and it is shown that $r(1)$ and $\mathcal{R}$ generate a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ (Corollary 9.6). The last part of the Appendix is devoted to the proof that the set $\{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R}$ in fact generates $\mathcal{G}$ (Theorem 9.8). Also recall that $n, m, a, b, d \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $d \mid n$, $d \mid m$, $n \mid abd$ and $m \mid abd$.

Definition 9.1. Let $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ and $1 \leq k < l \leq 4$. For a matrix $A = (a_{rs})_{r,s=1,\dots,4} \in M_4(\mathbb{Z})$ let $A_{(k,l)}^{(i,j)} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a submatrix of A such that

$$A_{(k,l)}^{(i,j)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{ki} & a_{kj} \\ a_{li} & a_{lj} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}$ denote $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 9.2. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $[A] \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if

$$\det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(1,2)} + ab \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(1,2)} \equiv_n 1,$$

$$ab \det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(3,4)} + \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(3,4)} \equiv_m 1$$

and

$$\det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(i,j)} + \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(i,j)} \equiv_d 0$$

for every $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ such that $(i, j) \neq (1, 2), (3, 4)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A^* J A &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^T & aA_{21}^T \\ bA_{12}^T & A_{22}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & aA_{12} \\ bA_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^T J_2 A_{11} + abA_{21}^T J_2 A_{21} & a(A_{11}^T J_2 A_{12} + A_{21}^T J_2 A_{22}) \\ b(A_{12}^T J_2 A_{11} + A_{22}^T J_2 A_{21}) & abA_{12}^T J_2 A_{12} + A_{22}^T J_2 A_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[A^* J A] = [J]$ if and only if

$$A_{11}^T J_2 A_{11} + abA_{21}^T J_2 A_{21} \equiv_n J_2,$$

$$abA_{12}^T J_2 A_{12} + A_{22}^T J_2 A_{22} \equiv_m J_2,$$

and

$$A_{11}^T J_2 A_{12} + A_{21}^T J_2 A_{22} \equiv_d 0.$$

Now use that $A_{11}^T J_2 A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(1,3)} & \det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(1,4)} \\ \det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(2,3)} & \det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(2,4)} \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{21}^T J_2 A_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(1,3)} & \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(1,4)} \\ \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(2,3)} & \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(2,4)} \end{pmatrix}$

and

$U^T J_2 U = (\det U) J_2$ for $U \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. □

Definition 9.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put

$$r(k) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & ak \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & bk & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}/\equiv$$

and

$$\mathcal{R} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S} / \equiv \mid S, T \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ \& } \det S \equiv_n 1 \text{ \& } \det T \equiv_m 1 \right\}.$$

Finally, denote $E_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ matrices in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Notice that $\mathcal{R}$ is well defined.

Lemma 9.4. (i) $r(k) = r(1)^k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r(1)^d = 1_{\mathcal{G}}$, where $1_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the unit of I_4 .
(ii) $r(1) \in \mathcal{G}$.
(iii) $\mathcal{R}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. (i) Clearly, $r(d) = 1$. Since $E_{12}E_{12} = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} r(k)r(1) &= \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & akE_{12} \\ bkE_{12} & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & aE_{12} \\ bE_{12} & I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & a(k+1)E_{12} \\ b(k+1)E_{12} & I_2 \end{bmatrix} = r(k+1). \end{aligned}$$

The rest now follows easily by induction.

(ii) Clearly, $r(1)^* = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & aE_{21} \\ bE_{21} & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} r(1)^*jr(1) &= \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & aE_{21} \\ bE_{21} & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & aE_{12} \\ bE_{12} & I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} J_2 & aE_{22} \\ bE_{22} & J_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & aE_{12} \\ bE_{12} & I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_2 + abE_{22}E_{12} & a(J_2E_{12} + E_{22}) \\ b(E_{22} + J_2E_{12}) & J_2 + abE_{22}E_{12} \end{bmatrix} = j, \end{aligned}$$

since $E_{12}J_2 = E_{22} = -J_2E_{12}$ and $E_{22}E_{12} = 0$.

(iii) It is enough to show that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. For $h \in \mathcal{R}$ we get that

$$h^*jh = \begin{bmatrix} S^T & 0 \\ 0 & T^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\det S)J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & (\det T)J_2 \end{bmatrix} = j,$$

since $\det S \equiv_n 1$ and $\det T \equiv_m 1$. $\square$

Lemma 9.5.

- (i) $\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & -aE_{11} \\ bE_{22} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -J_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & aE_{12} \\ bE_{12} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{pmatrix}.$
- (ii) $\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & -aE_{22} \\ bE_{11} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & aE_{12} \\ bE_{12} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$
- (iii) $\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & aE_{21} \\ bE_{21} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & aE_{12} \\ bE_{12} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -J_2 \end{pmatrix}.$

Proof. Easy to verify. Use $-J_2 = J_2^{-1}$. $\square$

Corollary 9.6. For a subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ denote $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ the submonoid of $\mathcal{G}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Follows from 9.4. $\square$

In the next lemma the entries for a given matrix $X \in \mathcal{S}$, corresponding to the element $[X] \in \mathcal{G}$, will always be denoted in the following way:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & a \cdot x_{13} & a \cdot x_{14} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & a \cdot x_{23} & a \cdot x_{24} \\ b \cdot x_{31} & b \cdot x_{32} & x_{33} & x_{34} \\ b \cdot x_{41} & b \cdot x_{42} & x_{43} & x_{44} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}$$

in order to simplify the notation.

Lemma 9.7. *Let $[A] \in \mathcal{G}$, where $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Then:*

- (i) *There are $[H] \in \mathcal{R}$ and $[B] \in \mathcal{G}$, where $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $B \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $[H][A] = [B]$, $b_{14} = b_{34} = 0$ and $b_{44} = \gcd(a_{34}, a_{44})$.*
- (ii) *Let $a_{14} = a_{34} = 0$. Then there are $[H] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $[B] \in \mathcal{G}$, where $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $B \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $[H][A] = [B]$, $b_{14} = b_{34} = 0$ and $b_{44} = 1$.*
- (iii) *Let $a_{14} = a_{34} = 0$ and $a_{44} = 1$. Then there are $[H] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $[B] \in \mathcal{G}$, where $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $B \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $[H][A] = [B]$, $b_{31} = b_{32} = b_{13} = b_{14} = b_{24} = b_{34} = 0$ and $b_{33} = b_{44} = 1$.*
- (iv) *Let $a_{31} = a_{32} = a_{13} = a_{14} = a_{24} = a_{34} = 0$ and $a_{33} = a_{44} = 1$. Then $[A] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.*

Proof. (i) There are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha a_{14} + \beta a_{24} = \gcd(a_{14}, a_{24}) = d'$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gamma a_{34} + \delta a_{44} = \gcd(a_{34}, a_{44}) = d''$. Put

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{24}}{d'} & \frac{-a_{14}}{d'} & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{a_{44}}{d''} & \frac{-a_{34}}{d''} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $[H] \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ be the last column of A . Then

$$Hu = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{24}}{d'} & \frac{-a_{14}}{d'} & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{a_{44}}{d''} & \frac{-a_{34}}{d''} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} aa_{14} \\ aa_{24} \\ a_{34} \\ a_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ ad' \\ 0 \\ d'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now put $B = HA$ and the rest is clear.

(ii) Let $a_{14} = a_{34} = 0$. By 9.2, we have $1 \equiv_m ab \det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(3,4)} + \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(3,4)} = aba_{13}a_{24} + a_{33}a_{44}$, hence $aba_{13}a_{24} + a_{33}a_{44} = 1 + m\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$H' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & aa_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ba_{13} & 1 & a_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & aa_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ba_{13} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, by 9.4, $[H'] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$. Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ be the last column of A . Then

$$H'u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & aa_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ba_{13} & 1 & a_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ aa_{24} \\ 0 \\ a_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa_{13}a_{44} \\ aa_{14} \\ 1 + m\varepsilon \\ a_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put $A' = H'A$. Let $A'' \in \mathcal{S}$ be a matrix that differs from A' only on the position $(3, 4)$, where 1 is instead of $1 + m\varepsilon$. Then $A'' \equiv A'$ and thus

$[A''] = [H''][A]$. Using (i) for $[A'']$, we get that there are $H'', B \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $[H''] \in \mathcal{R}$, $[B] \in \mathcal{G}$, $[H''][A''] = [B]$, $b_{14} = b_{34} = 0$ and $b_{44} = \gcd(1, a_{44}) = 1$. Now, just put $H = H''H'$. Then $[H][A] = [H'']H'[A] = [H''][A''] = [B]$. Clearly, $[H] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

(iii) By 9.2, we have $1 \equiv_m ab \det \tilde{A}_{(1,2)}^{(3,4)} + \det \tilde{A}_{(3,4)}^{(3,4)} = aba_{13}a_{24} + a_{33}$, hence $aba_{13}a_{24} + a_{33} = 1 + m\eta$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put $a'_{33} = a_{33} - m\eta$. Then

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{33} & 0 & -aa_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -aa_{24} \\ ba_{24} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & ba_{13} & 0 & a'_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -aa_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & ba_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -aa_{24} \\ ba_{24} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

since $aba_{13}a_{24} + a'_{33} = 1$. By 9.5(i),(ii) and 9.4(i), $[H] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

Let $A' \in \mathcal{S}$ be a matrix that differs from A only on the position $(3, 3)$, where a'_{33} is instead of a_{33} . Then $A' \equiv A$. Now, put $B' = HA'$. Let u be the 3-rd column of A' and v be the 4-th column of A' . Then

$$H \cdot (u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{33} & 0 & -aa_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -aa_{24} \\ ba_{24} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & ba_{13} & 0 & a'_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} aa_{13} & 0 \\ aa_{23} & aa_{24} \\ a'_{33} & 0 \\ a_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ab'_{23} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ b'_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $b'_{23} = a_{23} - a_{24}a_{43}$ and $b'_{43} = aba_{13}a_{13} + a'_{33}a_{43}$. Clearly, $\det B_{(1,2)}^{(1,4)} = 0$, $\det B_{(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = 0$, $\det B_{(3,4)}^{(1,4)} = bb'_{31}$ and $\det B_{(3,4)}^{(2,4)} = bb'_{32}$. Now, using 9.2, we get $b'_{31} \equiv_d 0$ and $b'_{32} \equiv_d 0$.

Finally, let $B \in \mathcal{S}$ be a matrix that differs from B' only on the position $(3, 1)$, where 0 is instead of b'_{31} , and on the position $(3, 2)$, where 0 is instead of b'_{32} . Then $B \equiv B'$. Hence $[B] = [B'] \in \mathcal{G}$ and $[H][A] = [H][A'] = [B'] = [B]$.

(iv) There are $\nu, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\nu a_{11} + \tau a_{12} = \gcd(a_{11}, a_{12}) = d'$. Put

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & \frac{-a_{12}}{d'} & 0 & 0 \\ \tau & \frac{a_{11}}{d'} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $[H] \in \mathcal{R}$. Put $B = AH$. Then

$$AH = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & aa_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ ba_{41} & ba_{42} & a_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu & \frac{-a_{12}}{d'} & 0 & 0 \\ \tau & \frac{a_{11}}{d'} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & aa_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ bb_{41} & bb_{42} & a_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By 9.2, we have $0 \equiv_d \det \tilde{B}_{(1,2)}^{(2,3)} + \det \tilde{B}_{(3,4)}^{(2,3)} = -b_{42}$ and $0 \equiv_d \det \tilde{B}_{(1,2)}^{(1,3)} + \det \tilde{B}_{(3,4)}^{(1,3)} = d'a_{13} - b_{41}$. Hence $b_{42} \equiv_d 0$ and $b_{41} \equiv_d d'a_{13}$. Let $B' \in \mathcal{S}$ be a matrix that differs from B only on the position $(4, 1)$, where $bd'a_{13}$ is instead of bb_{41} , and on the position $(4, 2)$, where 0 is instead of bb_{42} . Then $[B'] = [B] \in \mathcal{G}$ and $[A][H] = [B] = [B']$.

Further

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix} d' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & aa_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ bd'a_{13} & 0 & a_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & aa_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ ba_{13} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote C the first matrix in the decomposition of B' and G the second one (i.e. $B' = CG$). By 9.5(iii) and 9.4(i), $[C] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$. Hence $[C]$ is invertible in $\mathcal{G}$, $[G] = [C]^{-1}[B'] \in \mathcal{G}$ and it is easy to see, by 9.2, that $[G] \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence $[B'] \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

Finally, $[A] = [B'] [H]^{-1} \in \langle \{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle$. $\square$

Theorem 9.8. $\mathcal{G}$ is a group generated by $\{r(1)\} \cup \mathcal{R}$, where $r(k)$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are defined in 9.3.

Proof. Follows immediately from 9.7. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Patera J and Zassenhaus H 1988 The Pauli matrices in n dimensions and finest gradings of simple Lie algebras of type A_{n-1} *J. Math. Phys.* **29** 665–673
- [2] Havlíček M, Patera J, Pelantová E and Tolar J 2002 Automorphisms of the fine grading of $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ associated with the generalized Pauli matrices *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 1083–1094; arXiv: math-ph/0311015
- [3] Weyl H 1931 *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Dover) pp 272–280
- [4] Schwinger J 1960 Unitary operator bases *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **46** 570–579, 1401–1415
- [5] Hrivnák J, Novotný P, Patera J and Tolar J 2006 Graded contractions of the Pauli graded $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **418** 498–550
- [6] Pelantová E, Svobodová M and Tremblay J 2006 Fine grading of $sl(p^2, \mathbb{C})$ generated by tensor product of generalized Pauli matrices and its symmetries *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 5341–5357
- [7] Vourdas A 2004 Quantum systems with finite Hilbert space *Rep. Progr. Phys.* **67** 267–320
- [8] Šulc P and Tolar J 2007 Group theoretical construction of mutually unbiased bases in Hilbert spaces of prime dimensions *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 15099–15111
- [9] Patera J and Zassenhaus H 1989 On Lie gradings I *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **112** 87–159
- [10] Folland G B 1989 *Harmonic Analysis on Phase Space* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- [11] Balian R and Itzykson C 1986 Observations sur la mécanique quantique finie *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **303** Série I, n. 16, 773–777
- [12] Neuhauser M 2002 An explicit construction of the metaplectic representation over a finite field *Journal of Lie Theory* **12** 15–30
- [13] Vourdas A 2007 Quantum systems with finite Hilbert space: Galois fields in quantum mechanics *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** R285–R331
- [14] Šťovíček P and Tolar J 1984 Quantum mechanics in a discrete space-time *Rep. Math. Phys.* **20** 157–170

- [15] Tolar J and Chadzitaskos G 2009 Feynman's path integral and mutually unbiased bases *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** 245306 (11pp)
- [16] Vourdas A and Banderier C 2010 Symplectic transformations and quantum tomography in finite quantum systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** 042001 (9pp)
- [17] Digernes T, Husstad E and Varadarajan V S 1999 Finite approximation of Weyl systems *Math. Scand.* **84** 261–283
- [18] Digernes T, Varadarajan V S and Varadhan S R S 1994 Finite approximations to quantum systems *Rev. Math. Phys.* **6** 621–648
- [19] Wootters W K and Fields B D 1989 Optimal state-determination by mutually unbiased measurements *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **191** 363–381
- [20] Gisin N, Ribordy G, Tittel W and Zbinden H 2002 Quantum cryptography *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74** 145–195
- [21] Nikolopoulos G M and Alber G 2005 Security bound of two-basis quantum-key-distribution protocols using qudits *Phys. Rev. A* **72** 032320
- [22] Kibler M R 2008 Variations on a theme of Heisenberg, Pauli and Weyl *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 375302

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAGUE 8, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: miroslav.korbelar@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, FACULTY OF NUCLEAR SCIENCES AND PHYSICAL ENGINEERING, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, BŘEHOVÁ 7, 115 19 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: jiri.tolar@fjfi.cvut.cz